Level sets estimation of random compact sets

P. Heinrich, R. S. Stoica and C. V. Tran Université Lille 1 - Laboratoire Paul Painlevé

Workshop in Spatial Statistics and Image Analysis in Biology Avignon, May 9-11 2012

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Introduction : motivating example

Level sets : a tool for compact random sets averaging

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Estimation of level sets

Examples of application

Conclusions and perspectives

# A practical application (1)

Pattern detection in spatial data :

- ▶ the data **d** : image analysis, epidemiology, galaxy catalogues
- detect and characterise the pattern "hidden" in the data : objects, cluster pattern or filamentary network
- hypothesis : the pattern is the outcome γ of a stochastic process Γ
- possible solution in this context : probabilistic modelling and maximisation

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

# A practical application (2)

## Gibbs modelling framework

- Markov random fields, marked point processes, etc.
- general structure of the probability density :

$$h(\gamma|\theta) = \frac{\exp\left[-U_{\mathsf{d}}(\gamma|\theta) - U_{i}(\gamma|\theta)\right]}{\alpha(\theta)}$$

and also the necessary mathematical details so that everything is well defined  $\ldots$ 

# A practical application (3)

## Gibbs modelling framework (continued)

- ► U<sub>d</sub>(γ|θ) : this term is related to the objects location in the data field (inhomogeneous process)
- ► U<sub>i</sub>(γ|θ) : this term is related to the object interaction and to the morphology of the pattern (prior model, regularisation term)
- α(θ) : normalisation constant (not always available analytically)
- pattern estimator :

$$\widehat{\gamma} = \arg \max_{\gamma \in \Omega} \{ h(\gamma | \theta) \} = \arg \min_{\gamma \in \Omega} \{ U_{\mathbf{d}}(\gamma | \theta) + U_{i}(\gamma | \theta) \}$$
(1)

# A practical application (4)

### Some concluding remarks

- simulated annealing algorithm : convergence towards the uniform distribution on the solution sub-space given by (1)
- the model parameters are not always known ...
- the convergence is difficult to be stated
- ... or the solution is not always unique (continuous models and/or priors on the model parameters)
- ➤ ⇒ a real need to average the obtained solution in order to obtain a much more robust solution
- Idea : use level sets as a tool for averaging random patterns

Level sets : basic notions and definitions (1)

Random compact sets and coverage function :

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  : probability space
- $(W = [0, 1]^d, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ : measure space (... where the data field leaves) with  $\mathcal{B}$  the corresponding Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\nu$  the Lebesgue measure
- C : the class of compact sets in W

A random compact set  $\Gamma$  in W is a random map from  $\Omega$  to  ${\cal C}$  that is measurable in the sense

$$\forall C \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \{\omega : \Gamma(\omega) \cap C \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

The coverage function is given by :

$$p(w) = \mathbb{P}(w \in \Gamma)$$

Level sets : basic notions and definitions (2)

Level or Quantile sets : for  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  the (deterministic)  $\alpha$ -level set is

$$Q_{\alpha} = \{ w \in W : p(w) > \alpha \}$$

or for simplicity  $\{p > \alpha\}$ . Vorob'ev expectation : the Borel set  $\mathbb{E}_V\Gamma$  such that

$$\nu\left(\mathbb{E}_{V}\mathsf{\Gamma}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\nu\left(\mathsf{\Gamma}\right)\right]$$

and

$$\{\boldsymbol{p} > \boldsymbol{\alpha}^*\} \subset \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \subset \{\boldsymbol{p} \geq \boldsymbol{\alpha}^*\},\$$

where

$$\alpha^* = \inf\{\alpha \in [0,1] : \nu(Q_\alpha) \le \mathbb{E}[\nu(\Gamma)]\}.$$

The Vorob'ev expectation is the  $\alpha^*$ -level set that matches the mean volume of  $\Gamma$ .

Some known results and properties (1)



Figure: Behaviour of function  $F(\alpha) = \nu(Q_{\alpha})$ 

#### Remarks :

- F is càdlàg with constant regions (plateaux)
- constant regions of  $p(w) \Rightarrow$  discontinuities of  $\nu(Q_{\alpha})$
- constant regions of  $\nu(Q_{\alpha}) \Rightarrow$  discontinuities of p(w)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへ⊙

Some known results and properties (2)

## Vorob'ev expectation :

► it is unique provided  $F(\alpha) = \nu(Q_{\alpha}) = \nu(\{p > \alpha\})$  is continuous at  $\alpha^*$ ; then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{V}\Gamma = \{p \ge \alpha^*\}$$

it minimises

$$B \to \mathbb{E}\left[\nu\left(B \triangle \Gamma\right)\right]$$

under the constraint  $\nu(B) = \mathbb{E}[\nu(\Gamma)]$ , where  $\triangle$  is the symmetric difference (Molchanov, 05).

## More generally, on level sets :

- p(w) not always available in an analytical closed form
- ► the level sets cannot be computed for all the points  $w \in W$  $\Rightarrow$  discretisation should be considered

# Plug-in estimation (1)

### Definition

- consider *n* i.i.d. copies  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_n$  of  $\Gamma$
- the empirical counterpart of p(w)

$$p_n(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{w \in \Gamma_i\}}$$

the plug-in estimator

$$Q_{n,\alpha} = \{p_n > \alpha\}$$

# Plug-in estimation (2)

Properties :

the problem was deeply studied in the literature

- some references : (Molchanov, 87, 90, 98), (Cuevas, 97, 06) and many others
- L<sup>1</sup>−consistency under weak assumptions → p(w) does not need to be continuous
- Hausdorff distance : similar consistency results using some extra assumptions
- rates of convergence and asymptotic normality : regularity conditions on p(w)

## Aim of our work

- plug-in estimator that takes into account the discretisation effects
- ► estimator for the Vorob'ev expectation → its definition contains another quantity that need approximation ....

A new level-set estimator (1)

Discretisation : for any Borel set B in W and  $r \in 2^{-\mathbb{N}}$ , its corresponding grid approximation is

$$B^r = \bigsqcup_{w \in B \cap r \mathbb{Z}^d} [w, w + r)^d.$$

Regularity : the "upper box counting dimension" of  $\partial B$  is

$$\overline{\dim_{\mathrm{box}}}(\partial B) = \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log N_r(\partial B)}{-\log r},$$

with

$$N_r(\partial B) = \operatorname{Card}\{w \in r\mathbb{Z}^d : [w, w + r)^d \cap \partial B \neq \emptyset\}.$$

A new level-set estimator (2)

Proposition

Assume that  $\overline{\dim_{\text{box}}}(\partial B) < d$ . For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $r_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$0 < r < r_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \nu\left(B^{r} \triangle B\right) \leq r^{d - \overline{\dim_{\mathrm{box}}}(\partial B) - \varepsilon}$$

#### Proposition

Assume that  $\overline{\dim_{\text{box}}}(\partial\Gamma) \leq d - \kappa$  with probability one for some  $\kappa > 0$ . For all  $\alpha$  such that  $\nu(\{p = \alpha\}) = 0$ , (i) with probability 1,

$$\lim_{\substack{r\to 0\\n\to\infty}}\nu\left(Q_{n,\alpha}^{r}\triangle Q_{\alpha}\right)=0$$

(ii) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\nu\left(Q_{n,\alpha}^{r}\triangle Q_{\alpha}\right)\right] \leq r^{\kappa} + 2\mathrm{e}^{-2n\varepsilon^{2}} + F(\alpha - \varepsilon) - F(\alpha + \varepsilon).$$

The proof is an extension of the result in (Cuevas, 106).

## Vorob'ev expectation estimator (1)

Kovyazin's mean : the empirical counter-part of the Vorob'ev expectation. That is the Borel set  $K_n$  such that

$$\nu(K_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(\Gamma_i)$$

and

$$\{p_n > \alpha_n^*\} \subset K_n \subset \{p_n \ge \alpha_n^*\},\$$

where

$$\alpha_n^* = \inf\{\alpha \in [0,1] : \nu(\{p_n > \alpha\}) \le \nu(K_n\}.)$$

#### Theorem

Assume that  $\nu(\{p = \alpha^*\}) = 0$ . Then, with probability one,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\nu\left(K_n\triangle\mathbb{E}_V\Gamma\right)=0.$$

The proof revisits the result given by (Kovyazin, 86).

Vorob'ev expectation estimator (2)

Grid approximation of  $K_n$ : this is the estimator we propose. That is the Borel set  $K_{n,r}$  such that

$$\{p_n > \alpha_{n,r}^*\}^r \subset K_{n,r} \subset \{p_n \ge \alpha_{n,r}^*\}^r,$$

where

$$\alpha_{n,r}^* = \inf\{\alpha \in [0,1] : \nu\left(\{p_n > \alpha\}^r\right) \le \nu\left(K_n\right)\}.$$

#### Some remarks :

- quite strong assumption :  $\nu(K_n)$  is computed exactly ...
- an alternative idea may consider directly the discretisation of  $\Gamma$  or  $K_n$ , but this does not guarantee a mean volume equal to  $\nu(K_n)$  ...
- still, in practice ...

## Consistency of the Vorob'ev estimator

Theorem Assume that  $\overline{\dim_{\text{box}}}(\partial\Gamma) \leq d - \kappa$  with probability one for some  $\kappa > 0$ , and that  $\nu(\{p = \alpha^*\}) = 0$  and  $\nu(\{p = \beta^*\}) = 0$  with  $\beta^* = \sup\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : \nu(\{p > \alpha\}) \geq \mathbb{E}[\nu(\Gamma)]\}$ . Then, we have almost surely

$$\lim_{\substack{r\to 0\\n\to\infty}}\nu\left(K_{n,r}\triangle\mathbb{E}_V\Gamma\right)=0.$$

Proof.

We write that

$$\nu\left(K_{n,r} \triangle \mathbb{E}_V \Gamma\right) \leq \nu\left(K_{n,r} \triangle K_n\right) + \nu\left(K_n \triangle \mathbb{E}_V \Gamma\right)$$

and use Theorem 1 and two lemmas to conclude. For technical details, a draft is available on demand ...

## Cosmic filaments : simulated annealing detection (Stoica, Martinez and Saar, 07,10)



Figure: a) Original data. b) Cylinder configuration detected.

## Cosmic filaments : level sets averaging



Figure: a) Behaviour of the level set volume. b) Estimated Vorob'ev expectation.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

# Epidemiology (veterinary context)

Disease : sub-clinical mastitis for diary herds

- ▶ points → farms location
- to each farm  $\rightarrow$  disease score (continuous variable)
- clusters pattern detection : regions where there is a lack of hygiene or rigour in farm management



Figure: The spatial distribution of the farms outlines almost the entire French territory (INRA Avignon).

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

## Epidemiology : sub-clinical mastitis data

(Stoica, Gay and Kretzschmar, 07)



Figure: Disease data scores and coordinates for the year 1996 : a) cluster pattern (disk configuration) detected ; b) Level sets.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・

#### Conclusion :

- estimator including the discretisation effects
- averaging the shape of the pattern ...

## Perspectives :

- ... provided the model is correct ...
- relax hypotheses
- what is the variance of the pattern ?

## Acknowledgements :

this work was done together with wonderful co-authors and also with help of some very generous people  $\dots$  Some of them are today with us :)  $\dots$ 

# GDR Géométrie Stochastique

#### Aim :

- network of scientists
- no obligations at all ...
- joining mathematicians sharing common research interests but not only ... also the scientists from the corresponding application domains ...

## Contact :

- Pierre.Calka@univ-rouen.fr
- web page : http://gdr-geostoch.math.cnrs.fr/