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# Continuum percolation result in $\beta$ skeleton graph for Poisson stationary point process with unit intensity in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. 

## Some applications

- Ferromagnetism (at low temperature) and Ising model
- Disordered electrical networks (electrical resistance of a mixture of two materials)
- Cancerology for the study of the growth of tumor when the cancer cells suddently begin to invade healthy tissue.
- Epidemics and fires in orchards
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## Graphs $G_{\beta}=\left(V, E, N_{\beta}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
(u, v) \in E \Leftrightarrow L_{u, v}(\beta) \cap V=\emptyset \text { respectively } C_{u, v}(\beta) \cap V \\
L_{u, v}(\beta)=D\left(c_{1}=u+\frac{\beta(\alpha)}{2}(v-u), \alpha \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{2}\right) \\
\cap D\left(c_{2}=v+(u-v) \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{2}, \alpha \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{2}\right) \\
C_{u, v}(\beta)=D\left(c_{1}, \alpha \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{2}\right) \cup D\left(c_{2}, \alpha \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $\delta\left(c_{1}, u\right)=\delta\left(c_{1}, v\right)=\delta\left(c_{2}, u\right)=\delta\left(c_{2}, v\right)=\alpha \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{2}$ and $\beta(\alpha) \geq 1$.
For $0<\beta(\alpha) \leq 1$ :

$$
C_{u, v}(\beta)=D\left(c_{1}, \frac{\alpha}{2 \beta(\alpha)}\right) \cap D\left(c_{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2 \beta(\alpha)}\right)
$$


$L_{u, v}(\beta)$ with $\beta \geq 1$

$C_{u, v}(\beta)$ with $\beta<1$

$C_{u, v}(\beta)$ with $\beta>1$

## 1-independent percolation

To prove that continuous percolation occurs, we shall compare the process to various bond percolation models on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. In these models, the states of the edges are not be independent.

## Definition

A bond percolation model is 1-independent if whenever $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are sets of edges at graph distance at least 1 from each another (i.e., if no edge of $E_{1}$ is incident to an edge of $E_{2}$ ) then the state of the edges in $E_{1}$ is independent of the state of the edges in $E_{2}$.

## 1-independent percolation

To prove that continuous percolation occurs, we shall compare the process to various bond percolation models on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. In these models, the states of the edges are not be independent.

## Definition

A bond percolation model is 1-independent if whenever $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are sets of edges at graph distance at least 1 from each another (i.e., if no edge of $E_{1}$ is incident to an edge of $E_{2}$ ) then the state of the edges in $E_{1}$ is independent of the state of the edges in $E_{2}$.

## The Rolling Ball Method



## Comparison with $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$

- Write $u \sim v$ if $u v$ is an edge of the underlying graph
- Percolation $=$ infinite path : a sequence $u_{1}, u_{2} \ldots$ with $u_{i} \sim u_{i+1}$ for all $i$.
- Let $\mathcal{E}_{S_{1}, S_{2}}$ be the event that every vertex $u_{1}$ in the central disk $C_{1}$ of $S_{1}$ is joined to at least one vertex $v$ in the central disk $C_{2}$ of $S_{2}$ by a $G_{\beta}$ - path, regardless of the state of the Poisson process outside of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.
- Each vertex $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ corresponds to a square $[R i, R(i+1)] \times[R j, R(j+1)] \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $R=2 r+2 q$, and an edge is open between adjacent vertices (corresponding to squares $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ ) if both events $\mathcal{E}_{S_{1}, S_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{S_{2}, S_{1}}$ hold.
- 1-independent model on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ since the event $\mathcal{E}_{S_{1}, S_{2}}$ depends only on the Poisson process within the region $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.


## Comparison with $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$

- Any open path in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ corresponds to a sequence of events $\mathcal{E}_{S_{1}, S_{2}}, \mathcal{E}_{S_{2}, S_{3}} \ldots$ that occur, where $S_{i}$ is the square associated with a site in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$.
- Every vertex $u_{1}$ of the original Poisson process that lies in the central disk $C_{1}$ of $S_{1}$ now has an infinite path leading away from it, since one can find points $u_{i}$ in the central disk of $S_{i}$ and paths from $u_{i-1}$ to $u_{i}$ inductively for every $i \geq 1$.
- One can choose $r, q$ and $\beta$ so that the probability that the intersection of these events is large and then we will apply the theorem of Balister, Bollobas and Walters.


## A result of a 1-independent bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$

## Theorem (Balister, Bollobas, Walters. Random Structures and Algorithms, 2005)

If every edge in a 1-independent bond percolation model on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is open with probability at least 0.8639 , then almost surely there is an infinite open component. Moreover, for any bounded region, there is almost surely a cycle of open edges surrounding this region.

## The main result

Let $E_{S_{1}, S_{2}}$ be the event that for every point $v \in C_{1} \cup L$, there is a $u$ such that:
a) $v \sim u$;
b) $d(u, v) \leq s$; and
c) $u \in D_{v}$, where $D_{v}$ is the disk of radius $r$ inside $C_{1} \cup L \cup C_{2}$ with $v$ on its $C_{1}$-side boundary (the dotted disk in Figure 1).
If $E_{S_{1}, S_{2}}$ holds, then every vertex $v$ in $C_{1}$ must be joined by a $G_{\beta}$-path to a vertex in $C_{2}$, since each vertex in $C_{1} \cup L$ is joined to a vertex whose disk $D_{v}$ is further along in $C_{1} \cup L \cup C_{2}$.

## The main result

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{S_{1}, S_{2}}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \forall v \in \varphi_{C_{1} \cup L}, \exists u \in \varphi_{D_{v} \cap D(v, s)},\left(\varphi-\delta_{v}-\delta_{u}\right)\left(N_{\beta}(u v)\right)=0\right\} \\
A_{1}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \varphi\left(D_{0}\right)>0\right\} \\
A=E_{S_{1}, S_{2}} \cap E_{S_{2}, S_{1}} \cap A_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Theorem

We can find $s, r$ and $\beta$, function of the length of edges, so that $p(\bar{A}) \leq 0.1361$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \bar{E}_{S_{1}, S_{2}} \cup \bar{A}_{1} \subset \bar{A}_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3} \\
& A_{2}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \exists v \in \varphi_{C_{1} \cup L},\left(\varphi-\delta_{v}\right)\left(D_{v} \cap D(v, s)\right)=0\right\} . \\
& A_{3}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \exists v \in \varphi_{C_{1} \cup L}, \forall u \in \varphi_{D_{v} \cap D(v, s)},\left(\varphi-\delta_{v}-\delta_{u}\right)\left(N_{\beta}(u v)\right)>0\right\} . \\
& P\left(\bar{A}_{1}\right)=e^{-\pi r^{2}} . \text { Using Campbell's theorem and Slyvnyak’s theorem : } \\
& \text { Given } A_{D_{v}}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \varphi\left(D_{v} \cap D(v, s)\right)=0\right\} \text { and } \\
& A_{D_{0}}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \varphi\left(D_{O} \cap D(O, s)\right)=0\right\} \text {, it comes } \\
& \qquad \mathbb{1}_{A_{2}}(\varphi) \leq \sum_{v \in \varphi} \mathbb{1}_{\left[C_{1} \cup L\right]}(v) \mathbb{1}_{A_{D_{v}}}\left(\varphi-\delta_{v}\right) . \\
& P\left(A_{2}\right) \leq\left|C_{1} \cup L\right| P_{o}^{!}\left(A_{D_{0}}\right)=\left|C_{1} \cup L\right| P\left(A_{D_{0}}\right)=2 r(2 r+2 s) e^{-\left|D_{O} \cap D(O, s)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the last probability, by introducing the following events

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{v}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \forall u \in \varphi_{D_{v} \cap D(v, s)},\left(\varphi-\delta_{u}\right)\left(N_{\beta}(u v)\right)>0\right\} \\
A_{O}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \forall u \in \varphi_{D_{O} \cap D(O, s)},\left(\varphi-\delta_{u}\right)\left(N_{\beta}(u O)\right)>0\right\} \\
A_{O u}=\left\{\varphi \in \Omega / \varphi\left(N_{\beta}(O u)\right)>0\right\} . \\
1_{A_{3}}(\varphi)=\max _{v \in \varphi} 1_{\left[C_{1} \cup L\right]}(v) 1_{A_{v}}\left(\varphi-\delta_{v}\right) \leq \sum_{v \in \varphi} 1_{\left[C_{1} \cup L\right]}(v) 1_{A_{v}}\left(\varphi-\delta_{v}\right) . \\
P\left(A_{3}\right) \leq\left|C_{1} \cup L\right| P_{O}^{!}\left(A_{0}\right)=\left|C_{1} \cup L\right| P\left(A_{O}\right) . \\
1_{A_{O}}(\varphi) \leq \sum_{u \in \varphi} 1_{D_{O} \cap D(O, s)}(u) 1_{A_{O u}}\left(\varphi-\delta_{u}\right), \\
P\left(A_{O}\right) \leq \int_{D_{O} \cap D(O, s)} P_{u}^{!}\left(A_{O u}\right) d u=\int_{D_{O} \cap D(O, s)}\left(1-e^{-\left|N_{\beta}(O u)\right|}\right) d u . \\
P\left(A_{3}\right) \leq\left|C_{1} \cup L\right| \int_{D_{O} \cap D(O, s)}\left(1-e^{-\left|N_{\beta}(O u)\right|}\right) d u .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Lemma

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\bar{E}_{S_{1}, S_{2}} \cup \bar{A}_{1}\right) \leq e^{-\pi r^{2}}+2 r(2 r+2 q) e^{-\left|D_{o} \cap D(O, s)\right|} \\
& +4 r(2 r+2 q) \int_{0}^{s} \alpha \arccos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2 r}\right)\left(1-e^{-\left|N_{\beta}(\alpha)\right|}\right) d \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark : we choose the best $q$ so that every neighborhood of two differents points inside $C_{1} \cup L$ stay inside the rectangular zone $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$. We are looking for a function $\beta$ constant on an interval $[0, t]$ and function of $\alpha$ on the interval $[t, s]$ so that $\left|N_{\beta}(\alpha)\right|=\left|N_{\beta}(t)\right|$ for all $\alpha$ in $[t, s]$. We have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\bar{E}_{S_{1}, S_{2}} \cup \bar{A}_{1}\right) \leq e^{-\pi r^{2}}+2 r(2 r+2 q) e^{-\left|D_{O} \cap D(O, s)\right|} \\
& +4 r(2 r+2 q) \int_{0}^{t} \alpha \arccos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2 r}\right)\left(1-e^{-\left|N_{\beta}(\alpha)\right|}\right) d \alpha \\
& +4 r(2 r+2 q) \int_{t}^{s} \alpha \arccos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2 r}\right)\left(1-e^{-\left|N_{\beta}(t)\right|}\right) d \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Numerical results

| $\beta$ | $N_{\beta}$ | $r$ | $s$ | $a(t=a / 100 \times s)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (Gabriel Graph) | $L_{u, v}(1)$ | 1.437 | 2.625 | 1.025 |
| 2 (RNG Graph) | $L_{u, v}(2)$ | 1.491 | 2.731 | 0.631 |
| 3 | $L_{u, v}(3)$ | 1.515 | 2.824 | 0.484 |
| 2 | $C_{u, v}(2)$ | 1.6 | 2.882 | 0.176 |
| 3 | $C_{u, v}(3)$ | 1.7 | 2.862 | 0.087 |
| $1 / 2$ | $C_{u, v}(1 / 2)$ | 1.4 | 2.522 | 2.71 |
| $0<\beta \leq 0.001$ | $C_{u, v}(\beta)$ | 1.31 | 2.6 | 100 |
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